UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,906	02/27/2004	Steven D. Girouard	279.696US1	4545
21186 7590 04/21/2008 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINDEA DOLLS: MN 55402			EXAMINER	
			REIDEL, JESSICA L	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3766	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/788,906	GIROUARD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JESSICA REIDEL	3766				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Fe	bruary 2008					
, <u> </u>	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> .						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,10-38,41-148,150 and 151</u> is/are p	pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-7,14-31,35-38,45-6</u>	- ' '	om consideration				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	E ana 10 110	om consideration.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
, <u> </u>	and 151 are subject to rectriction	and/or election requirement				
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3, 10-13, 32-34, 41-44, 63-78, 150 a</u>	are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)□ objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3766

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 20, 2008 has been entered. Claims 8, 9, 39, 40 and 149 have been cancelled. Claims 4-7, 14-31, 35-38, 45-62 and 79-148 were previously withdrawn.

## Election/Restrictions

- 2. In view of the Amendments filed February 20, 2008, restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-3, 10-13, 150 and 151, drawn to a system, classified in class 607, subclass 3.
  - II. Claims 32-34, 41-44, 63-78, 150 and 151, drawn to a system, classified in class 607, subclass 60.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require an implantable medical device system comprising an implant telemetry module to receive an external command, nor does the combination require an external system including an external telemetry module to transmit the external command to the implant telemetry module. The subcombination has separate utility such as by itself or in other combinations, such as a system comprising an implantable CRM device, an external device and a remote device (see, for example, page 20 of the originally filed

Art Unit: 3766

disclosure). Since the combination as claimed does not require the details of the subcombination as separately claimed and the subcombination has separate utility, the inventions are distinct. In the combination, the subcombination is broadly recited and the specific characteristics required by the subcombination are not required by the combination. Since claims to both the subcombination and combination are presented, the omission of details of the claimed subcombination in the combination is evidence that the combination does not rely upon the specific limitations of the subcombination for its patentability.

The Examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where Applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 4. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
  - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification:
  - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
  - (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
  - (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention:
  - (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Art Unit: 3766

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, Applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, Applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should Applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, Applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence, now of record, showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. A telephone call was made to Janet E. Embretson on April 14, 2008 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to JESSICA REIDEL whose telephone number is (571)272-2129. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday, 8:00 AM 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Carl H. Layno can be reached on (571)272-4949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3766

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jessica L. Reidel/ Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3766 April 15, 2008 /Carl H. Layno/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3766 Application Number

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
10/788,906	GIROUARD ET	T AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
IESSICA REIDEI	3766		